Petition to Challenge Proposed 'Rule Change' adding 9 Constricting Snakes to the Injurious Wildlife List of the Lacey Act

- There is NO credible scientific evidence to support the premise that adding 9 constricting snakes to the Injurious Wildlife list of the Lacey Act would have any positive impact on the economy, environment, or human or animal species health.
- The recent USGS report entitled, *Giant Constrictors: Biological and Management Profiles and an Establishment Risk Assessment for Nine Large Species of Pythons, Anacondas, and the Boa Constrictor*, the report being used to justify proposed 'Rule Change', has been criticized by a panel of independent scientists as "not scientific"; and "not suitable as the basis for legislative or regulatory policies".
- Proposed 'Rule Change', if enacted would cost the US economy tens of thousands of jobs. Thousands of bankruptcies would occur. It would cripple the 3 billion dollar annual US trade in high quality captive bred reptiles.
- Proposed 'Rule Change', if enacted would put tens of thousands of American citizens in possession of animals designated Injurious Wildlife and subject them to potential felony prosecution under the Lacey Act.

Name	Email Address	State